

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of

Applicant : Allen Lepine  
Serial No. : 09/163,778  
Filed : September 30, 1998  
Title : CANINE MILK SUBSTITUTE  
Docket : IAM 498 PA  
Examiner : P. Dubois  
Art Unit : 1761



Assistant Commissioner for  
Patents  
Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING  
I hereby certify that this correspondence is  
being deposited with the United States Postal  
Service as first class mail in an envelope  
addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for  
Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231,  
on March 13, 2000.

Susan M. Juma  
Agent Reg. No. 38,769

RECEIVED  
MAR 22 2000  
TC 1700 MAIL ROOM

RESPONSE TO OFFICE ACTION

This paper is being filed in response to the Office Action mailed December 28, 1999.  
Reconsideration and reexamination are respectfully requested in light of the terminal disclaimer  
submitted herewith and the remarks below.

REMARKS

In the latest Office Action, the Examiner maintained the rejection of claims 1, 3-5 and 9 under 35 U.S.C. §103(a) as being unpatentable over Oftedal, "Lactation in the Dog: Milk Composition and Intake by Puppies", J. Nutr. in view of Kakade, U.S. Patent No. 4,614,653. The Examiner acknowledges that Oftedal is silent as to the specific type of proteins used in the disclosed milk substitute, but maintains that because Kakade teaches the addition of casein and whey to a milk product, "it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to optimize the ratio of the protein mix". However, as previously pointed out, neither Kakade nor Oftedal provide any teaching or suggestion which would motivate one skilled in the art to provide a milk substitute for **canines** having the claimed protein levels. Kakade is concerned with providing a general milk replacer for monogastric animals, (presumably farm animals; see col. 1, lines 14-21) which can be readily diluted to a solids content which approximates that of